



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATIO		
09/544,390	04/06/2000	Todd M. Hess	E005640	7545	
75	590 03/04/2004	EXAMINER			
Michael M Rickin Esq ABB Automaton Inc			THANGA VELU, KANDASAMY		
Legal Departme		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
29801 Euclid Avenue			2123	5	
Wickliffe, OH	44092-1898	DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	, 3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



						X
ı		P	Application N .		Applicant(s)	
		(09/544,390		HESS ET AL.	
	Offic Action Summary	Ē	xaminer		Art Unit	
			andasamy Thangavelu		2123	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commo	unication appea	rs on the cover sheet v	with the co	orrespondence ac	ddress
THE M - Extens after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD AILING DATE OF THIS COMMU ions of time may be available under the provision of the maximum to reply specified above, the maximum to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(ammunication. (30) days, a reply will astatutory period will a ply will, by statute, calls after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may a thin the statutory minimum of th apply and will expire SIX (6) MC use the application to become A	a reply be time nirty (30) days DNTHS from to ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this o	
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) t	iled on <u>25 Nove</u>	<u>ember 2003</u> .			
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	ction is non-final.			
3)□ 8	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance	e except for formal ma	itters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
C	closed in accordance with the practice	ctice under <i>Ex j</i>	parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>19-23,33,34 and 36-41</u> is a) Of the above claim(s) is Claim(s) <u>36-41</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>19,33 and 34</u> is/are rejected to. Claim(s) <u>20-23</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn	from consideration.			
Applicatio	n Papers					
•	he specification is objected to by he drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 20</u>		accepted or b)⊠ obje	ected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any ob				, ,	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi he oath or declaration is objected	_	·			• •
Pri rity un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priori Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative the attached detailed Office act	ty documents h ty documents h s of the priority tional Bureau (F	ave been received. ave been received in documents have bee PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application	n Nod in this National	Stage
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview		•	
3) Informa Paper I	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 No(s)/Mail Date				e tent Application (PT0	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to the Applicants' Response mailed on November 25, 2003. Claims 19-23 were amended. Claims 1-18, 24-32 and 35 were cancelled. Claims 36-41 were added. Claims 19-23, 33, 34 and 36-41 of the application are pending. This office action is made non-final.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicants' amendments filed on November 25, 2003 have been fully considered. The applicants did not argue previous rejections and made nonsubstantive amendments to claims 19-23. Additional claim rejections under 35 USC 102 (b) are included in this office action for claims 19, 33 and 34 using the previously used references. The examiner regrets the error made and the inconveniences to the applicants.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to; see a copy of Form PTO-948 sent with paper No. 3 for an explanation. Applicants are requested to send corrected drawings in response to this Office action.

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 19, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berkowitz et al. (BE) (U.S. Patent 5,488,561).
- BE teaches multivariable process control method and apparatus. Specifically, as per Claim 19, BE teaches a method for controlling a process (CL1, L13-14; CL1, L17-19); comprising:

converting at least one submodel of a nonlinear model having two or more submodels to a linear model, each of the two or more submodels having a predetermined one of two or more model predictive controllers associated therewith, the linear model for operating the associated one of the two or more controllers (CL3, L37-39; Fig. 3, Blk 70; CL7, L56-60; CL8, L15-29; CL10, L18-20; CL11, L26-29);

using the nonlinear model in a real time optimizer to compute targets for all of the two or more model predictive controllers, a predetermined subset of the computed targets associated with a respective one of the two or more controllers (CL1, L25-28; CL1, L52-54; CL1, L67 to CL2, L3; CL3, L4-10; CL3, L18-20; CL6, L45-48; CL7, L40-44);

Application/Control Number: 09/544,390

Art Unit: 2123

passing each of the predetermined subsets of the computed targets associated with a respective one of the two or more model predictive controllers to the associated one of the two or more controllers (CL1, L54-58; CL3, L21-22; CL3, L29-30; CL 7, L31-35; CL8, L57-60); and passing the linear model to the associated one of the two or more controllers (CL 7, L56-60; CL8, L15-29).

5.2 As per Claim 33, **BE** teaches an apparatus for controlling a process having process measurement variables associated therewith (CL1, L13-14; CL1, L17-19; Fig. 2 and Fig 3); the apparatus comprising:

a digital processor (CL6, L21-23);

two or more model predictive controllers each having an associated submodel of a linear model having two or more submodels for the process therein (CL1, L25-28; CL7, L56-60); and a simulation environment routine having the nonlinear model therein, the simulation environment routine executed by the digital processor (Fig. 2; CL3, L53-56; CL7, L15-20; CL3, L3-7); for:

converting at least one submodel of a nonlinear model having two or more submodels to the associated linear submodel (CL3, L37-39; Fig. 3, Blk 70; CL7, L56-60; CL8, L15-29; CL10, L18-20; CL11, L26-29);

using the nonlinear model in a real time optimizer to compute targets for all of the two or more model predictive controllers, a predetermined subset of the computed targets associated with a respective one of the two or more model predictive controllers (CL1, L25-28; CL1, L52-54; CL1, L67 to CL2, L3; CL3, L4-10; CL3, L18-20; CL6, L45-48; CL7, L40-44);

Application/Control Number: 09/544,390 Page 5

Art Unit: 2123

passing each of the predetermined subsets of the computed targets associated with a respective one of the two or more model predictive controllers to the associated one of the two or more controllers (CL1, L54-58; CL3, L21-22; CL3, L29-30; CL 7, L31-35; CL8, L57-60); and passing the linear model to the associated one of the two or more controllers (CL 7, L56-60; CL8, L15-29).

As per Claim 34, **BE** teaches apparatus of Claim 33. **BE** teaches the apparatus further comprising a regulatory control system for controlling the process according to the predetermined subsets of the computed targets passed to the associated one of the two or more controllers (Fig. 2; CL6, L9-20).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 20-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 36-41 are allowed.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/544,390 Page 6

Art Unit: 2123

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dr. Kandasamy Thangavelu whose telephone number is

703-305-0043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from

8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Teska, can be reached on (703) 305-9704. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

9600.

K. Thangavelu Art Unit 2123 February 27, 2004

> SAMUEL BRODA, ESQ. PRIMARY EXAMINER